BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

UN

UNITED
NATIONS

A

General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/AC.96/878
11 October 1996

Original: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
HIGH COMMISSIONER'S PROGRAMME

Forty-seventh session

REPORT OF THE FORTY-SEVENTH SESSION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER'S PROGRAMME

(Geneva, 7 - 11 OCTOBER 1996)

GE.96-02999

Table of Contents

		Paras.
I.	INTRODUCTION	1-19
	A. Opening of the session	1-5
	B. Election of officers	6
	C. Representation on the Committee	7-12
	D. Adoption of the agenda and other organizational matters	13
	E. Opening statement by the Chairman of the Executive Committee	14-19
II.	WORK OF THE FORTY-SIXTH SESSION	20
III.	DECISIONS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE COMMITTEE	21-29
	A. Conclusions on International Protection	21-22
	1. General Conclusion on International Protection	21
	2. Comprehensive and Regional Approaches within a Protection Framework	22
	B. Conclusion on the CIS Conference	23
	C. Conclusion on the Implementation of ECOSOC Resolution 1995/56	24
	D. General Decision on Programme, Administrative and Financial Matters	25
	E. Decision on Executive Committee Working Methods	26
	F. Decision on the Programme of Work of the Standing Committee	27
	G. Decision on the Provisional Agenda of the Forty-Eighth Session	28
	H. Government Observer Participation in 1996-1997	29
Annex I:	Decisions adopted by the Standing Committee in 1996	
Annex II:	Opening Statement by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees at the Forty-seventh Session of the Executive Committee of the High Commissioner's Programme	

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Opening of the session

1. The Executive Committee of the High Commissioner's Programme held its forty-seventh session at the Palais des Nations in Geneva from 7 to 11 October 1996. It was opened by the outgoing Chairman, His Excellency Mr. Jakob Esper Larsen (Denmark), who began by remarking on the ever-increasing burden of work that UNHCR faced.

2. Ambassador Larsen noted that, despite much concern over precarious situations in many areas of the world confronted by refugee problems, a number of positive developments, including major voluntary repatriations in Mozambique, South-East Asia, Ethiopia, Eritrea, and Myanmar, brightened the picture somewhat. He cautioned, however, that such achievements could not overshadow the fact that, at the beginning of 1996, over 26 million persons were identified as of concern to UNHCR.

3. Key elements in meeting this challenge, he suggested, were further advances in preventive action, efficient emergency relief mechanisms and inter-agency coordination. He considered the CIS Conference and its Plan of Action valuable preventive initiatives and urged that momentum not be lost in the follow-up process.

4. The Ambassador encouraged continued efforts towards improving cooperation and coordination in humanitarian relief operations, linking relief and rehabilitation with development assistance.

5. In his closing comments Ambassador Larsen commended UNHCR's change management initiative for its efforts to enhance transparency and efficiency both at Headquarters and in the field. Concluding, he encouraged adoption of the new Executive Committee working methods, and urged the Standing Committee to take maximum advantage of the opportunity for improved governance the new arrangements provide.

B. Election of officers

6. Under rule 10 of Rules of Procedure, the Committee elected the following officers by acclamation:

Chairman:	Ambassador Ali Mchumo (United Republic of Tanzania)
Vice-Chairman:	Ambassador Björn Skogmo (Norway)
Rapporteur:	Mr. Peter Schoof (Germany)

C. Representation on the Committee

7. The following members of the Committee were represented at the session:

Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Denmark, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Holy See, Hungary, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Lebanon, Lesotho, Madagascar, Morocco, Namibia, Netherlands, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Philippines, Russian Federation, Spain, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, United Kingdom, United Republic of Tanzania, United States of America, Venezuela and Zaire.

8. The Governments of the following States were present as observers:

Afghanistan, Angola, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Benin, Bhutan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brunei-Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Chile, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Djibouti, Dominica, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Eritrea, Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, Haiti, Iceland, Indonesia, Iraq, Jordan, Kazakstan, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malawi, Malaysia, Mali, Malta, Mauritania, Mexico, Monaco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nepal, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Romania, Rwanda, Senegal, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Tajikistan, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, Viet Nam, Yemen, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

9. The European Commission, the International Committee of the Red Cross, the Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, and the Sovereign Order of Malta were also represented as observers.

10. The United Nations system was represented as follows:

United Nations Office at Geneva (UNOG), Centre for Human Rights (CHR), Department of Humanitarian Affairs (DHA), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM), United Nations Volunteers (UNV), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), World Food Programme (WFP), United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (Habitat), United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR), United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD), International Labour Office (ILO), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), World Health Organization (WHO), World Meteorological Organization (WMO), United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

11. The following intergovernmental organizations were represented by observers:

League of Arab States, Organization of African Unity (OAU), Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC), Council of Europe, International Organization for Migration (IOM).

12. A total of 90 non-governmental organizations were represented by observers.

D. Adoption of the agenda and other organizational matters

13. The Executive Committee adopted by consensus the following agenda (A/AC.96/864).

1. Opening of the session
2. Election of officers
3. Adoption of the agenda and other organizational matters
4. Annual theme: the pursuit and implementation of solutions
5. Reports on the work of the Standing Committee on international protection and programme, administrative and financial matters
6. Consideration and adoption of programme budgets
7. Reports relating to programme oversight
8. Executive Committee working methods
9. Inter-sessional meetings of the Standing Committee in 1997
10. Consideration of the provisional agenda of the forty-eighth session of the Executive Committee
11. Any other business
12. Adoption of the draft report of the forty-seventh session of Executive Committee
13. Closing of the session

E. Opening statement by the Chairman of the Executive Committee

14. The incoming Chairman, His Excellency Mr. Ali Said Mchumo (United Republic of Tanzania), congratulated Ireland on its entry to the Executive Committee, and commended the outgoing bureau for the dedication, skill and accomplishment with which it executed its work.

15. Ambassador Mchumo observed that he was assuming his position at a time when the problems of forced displacement weighed heavily on the international community, particularly on hard-pressed countries in the developing world, and a time when the scale and complexity of existing refugee problems continued to be a source of deep concern.

16. With the institution of asylum coming under increasing strain, and disquiet mounting over the costs of refugee assistance and the security threat posed by refugee movements, Ambassador Mchumo identified a clear need to promote solution-oriented and preventive approaches to refugee protection. He hoped that the annual theme of the forty-seventh session, the pursuit and implementation of durable solutions, would further clarify the policy options and practical approaches available to UNHCR.

17. The Chairman also drew attention to a number of positive developments in various parts of the world. The refugee quandary in the Great Lakes region of Africa, however, continued to elude effective solutions, and compromised prospects for peace and stability in the whole area. The Chairman voiced his hope that UNHCR and the Executive Committee would be able to draw on positive experiences in order to promote the durable solutions urgently required.

18. The Chairman stressed that in order to ensure the sustainability of solutions, it was also crucial to link short-term rehabilitation assistance with broader recovery and reconstruction strategies. In this context, he recalled the

Standing Committee's wide-ranging discussions on ECOSOC resolution 1995/56, which provided an opportunity to review aspects of the relationship between relief and development.

19. Ambassador Mchumo concluded by expressing his commitment to working with the Executive Committee, in a spirit of solidarity and humanitarianism, over the next year and by paying tribute to the High Commissioner and her staff for the dedication with which they carried out their work.

II. WORK OF THE FORTY-SEVENTH SESSION

20. The High Commissioner delivered an opening statement to the Executive Committee, which is reproduced in annex II. The full account of the deliberations of the Committee, including the statements or other interventions made by delegations on all the agenda items of the meeting, as well as the closing statements by the Chairman and the High Commissioner, are contained in the summary records of the session.

III. DECISIONS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE COMMITTEE

A. Conclusions on International Protection

1. General Conclusion on International Protection

21. The Executive Committee

(a) Recognizes that the complexity of current refugee problems underlines both the fundamental importance of the High Commissioner's primary function of international protection, and the difficulties inherent in the exercise of this function;

(b) Reiterates that the High Commissioner's international protection function can only be carried out effectively with the full support of Governments, in particular through the provision of durable solutions; and welcomes the continued readiness of States to receive and protect refugees as well as to make available resources to meet refugee needs;

(c) Recalls in this regard the fundamental importance of the 1951 Convention and 1967 Protocol; in particular their implementation in a manner fully compatible with the object and purpose of these instruments; and welcomes the accession of South Africa and Kyrgyzstan to the 1951 Convention and the 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees, bringing to 132 the number of States parties to one or both instruments;

(d) Urges all States that have not yet done so to accede to and implement fully the 1951 Convention and its 1967 Protocol and relevant regional instruments for the protection of refugees, as applicable, thereby strengthening the framework of international protection;

(e) Invites States parties to the 1951 Convention and/or the 1967 Protocol which, at the time of accession, made reservations with respect to any provisions of these instruments to review such reservations with a view to their withdrawal;

(f) Recalls its reaffirmation of the competence of the High Commissioner in supervising the application of international instruments for the protection of refugees, and reminds States parties to the 1951 Convention and/or the 1967 Protocol of their undertaking to provide the High Commissioner with detailed information on the implementation of these instruments;

(g) Recalls also the importance of addressing the problem of statelessness, including through accession to the 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons and the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, welcomes the accession of Brazil and Guatemala to the 1954 Convention and of Azerbaijan to both statelessness conventions, and calls upon other States to consider accession to these instruments;

(h) Recognizes that countries of asylum carry a heavy burden, including in particular developing countries with limited resources and those which, due to their location, host large numbers of refugees and asylum-seekers; reiterates in this regard its commitment to uphold the principles of international solidarity and burden-sharing and calls on Governments and UNHCR to continue to respond to the assistance needs of refugees until durable solutions are found;

(i) Distressed at the widespread violations of the principle of *non-refoulement* and of the rights of refugees, in some cases resulting in loss of refugee lives, and seriously disturbed at reports indicating that large numbers of refugees and asylum-seekers have been refouled and expelled in highly dangerous situations; recalls that the principle of *non-refoulement* is not subject to derogation;

(j) Reaffirms the fundamental importance of the principle of *non-refoulement*, which prohibits expulsion and return of refugees, in any manner whatsoever, to the frontiers of territories where their life or freedom would be threatened on account of their race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, whether or not they have formally been granted refugee status, or of persons in respect of whom there are grounds for believing that they would be in danger of being subjected to torture, as set forth in the Convention Against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;

(k) Deplores violations of the right to personal security of refugees and asylum-seekers, including sexual and other attacks, especially on women and children, and appeals to all States to abide by their international obligations to protect the physical security of refugees and asylum-seekers and to take measures to ensure that such practices cease immediately;

(l) Expressing concern at the misuse of asylum procedures, calls upon States that have adopted measures aimed at discouraging abusive use of asylum procedures to ensure that these measures have no detrimental effect on the fundamental principles of international protection, including on the institution of asylum;

(m) Recalls its conclusion 77 (XLVI), which encouraged the High Commissioner to engage in consultations and discussions concerning measures to ensure international protection to all who need it and called on UNHCR to organize informal consultations on this subject; supports UNHCR's activities to date

in respect of such consultations and discussions, and encourages UNHCR to continue this process, keeping the Executive Committee informed;

(n) Notes with satisfaction UNHCR's activities with regard to the promotion and dissemination of refugee law and protection principles and calls upon the High Commissioner to continue to expand and strengthen the promotion and training activities of the Office, including in the area of prevention and reduction of statelessness and related nationality issues, with the active support of States and through increased cooperation with other international organizations, non-governmental organizations, academic institutions and other relevant organizations;

(o) Recalls its request that UNHCR support and promote efforts by States towards the development and implementation of criteria and guidelines on responses to persecution specifically aimed at women, welcomes in this context the convening by UNHCR in February 1996 of the Symposium on Gender-Based Persecution, the purpose of which was to share information on States' initiatives in this respect, and encourages UNHCR to continue and strengthen its efforts for the protection of women having a well-founded fear of persecution; and calls on States to adopt an approach that is sensitive to gender-related concerns and which ensures that women whose claims to refugee status are based upon a well-founded fear of persecution for reasons enumerated in the 1951 Convention and its 1967 Protocol, including persecution through sexual violence or other gender-related persecution, are recognized as refugees;

(p) Stresses the importance of UNHCR's being granted access to asylum applicants and refugees in order to enable the Office to carry out its protection functions in an effective manner;

(q) Notes that voluntary repatriation, local integration and resettlement are the traditional durable solutions for refugees while reaffirming that voluntary repatriation of refugees is the most preferred solution, where feasible;

(r) Reaffirms its conclusion 67 (XLII) on resettlement as an instrument of protection and as a durable solution, and welcomes the action taken recently by UNHCR, including the issuance of the Resettlement Handbook on criteria and procedures, and encourages training activities to support resettlement operations in the field;

(s) Acknowledges the resettlement efforts undertaken by Governments and the efforts being made by UNHCR to take full advantage of resettlement opportunities and to find solutions for individual refugees considered in need of resettlement, and in this connection urges Governments to respond actively to the resettlement needs of refugees in a spirit of burden-sharing;

(t) Encourages the regular exchange of information as part of the ongoing consultations of UNHCR with Governments and NGOs on resettlement;

(u) Reiterates the right of all persons to return to their countries and the responsibility of all States to accept and facilitate the return and reintegration of their nationals and recommends to States that strategies

for facilitating the return, in safety and with dignity, of persons not in need of international protection be examined within a framework of international cooperation;

(v) Encourages UNHCR in this context, in cooperation with other appropriate international organizations, to continue the consultative process initiated in 1995 on the question of how the return process can be facilitated;

(w) Recalling the inter-relationship between protection and solutions, as well as the desirability of prevention, including through the respect for human rights and the implementation of relevant instruments and standards, with particular attention to the responsibilities of States to resolve refugee situations and, especially with respect to countries of origin, to eliminate causes of refugee flows, calls on UNHCR to continue its activities in support of national legal and judicial capacity-building where appropriate, and to cooperate with the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and other relevant organizations in this regard.

2. Comprehensive and Regional Approaches within a Protection Framework

22. The Executive Committee,

Recognizing that the underlying causes of large-scale involuntary population displacements are complex and interrelated and encompass gross violations of human rights, including in armed conflict, poverty and economic disruption, political conflicts, ethnic and inter-communal tensions and environmental degradation, and that there is a need for the international community to address these causes in a concerted and holistic manner,

Reaffirming in this regard Conclusion No. 40 (XXXVI) on Voluntary Repatriation, which states that the aspect of causes is critical to the issue of solutions and that international efforts should also be directed to the removal of the causes of refugee movements; stressing further that the essential condition for the prevention of refugee flows is sufficient political will by the States directly concerned to address causes which are at the origin of refugee movements,

Recalling its encouragement to the High Commissioner to engage in consultations on possibilities and initiatives in specific areas with complex problems of coerced population movements as well as on achieving the objective of providing international protection to all who need it,

Noting that the prevention of and response to such situations may be beyond UNHCR's mandate and capacity,

Further noting that internally displaced persons remain within the territorial jurisdiction of their own countries and that the primary responsibility for their welfare and protection lies with the State concerned;

Aware that involuntary displacement, in addition to the human suffering involved, can impose significant intra-regional burdens, and may also affect security and stability at the regional level,

Acknowledging the desirability of comprehensive approaches by the international community to the problems of refugees and displaced persons, including addressing root causes, strengthening emergency preparedness and response, providing effective protection, and achieving durable solutions,

(a) Emphasizes the responsibility of States to ensure conditions which do not compel people to flee in fear, to uphold the institution of asylum, to create conditions conducive to voluntary repatriation, to take steps to meet essential humanitarian needs and to cooperate with countries on whom the large scale presence of refugees weighs most heavily;

(b) Reaffirms the value of comprehensive approaches in which UNHCR has played a significant part, through its presence and activities in countries of origin as well as countries of asylum; notably the CIREFCA process, the Comprehensive Plan of Action and the repatriation to Mozambique; and recalls that the High Commissioner is mandated to promote voluntary repatriation by taking initiatives including promoting dialogue between all the main parties, facilitating communication between them, and by acting as an intermediary or channel of communication;

(c) Underlines the value of regional cooperation, as illustrated by these approaches, in addressing involuntary displacement in a manner which encompasses the political dimension of causes;

(d) Recalls that, while there is no blueprint for such approaches, protection considerations should govern the entire process towards solutions, and standards should be applied consistently;

(e) Encourages States, in coordination and cooperation with each other, and with international organizations, if applicable, to consider the adoption of protection-based comprehensive approaches to particular problems of displacement, and identifies, as the principal elements of such approaches:

(i) the protection of all human rights, including the right to life, liberty and security of person, as well as to freedom from torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; the right to leave one's own country and to return; the principle of non-discrimination including the protection of minorities; and the right to a nationality

(ii) promotion of the rule of law through national legal and judicial capacity-building

(iii) respect for the institution of asylum, including the fundamental principle of *non-refoulement*, and ensuring international protection to all those who need it

(iv) measures to reinforce international solidarity and burden-sharing

(v) support for long-term sustainable development

(vi) integration of developmental approaches into the relief stage by strengthening national capacities

(vii) support for rehabilitation, reintegration and reconstruction measures which will underpin the sustainability of repatriation

(viii) public information to raise awareness about refugee and migration issues in both host countries and countries of origin, particularly with a view to countering xenophobia and racism

(ix) the establishment and fostering of mechanisms designed to avoid or reduce the incidence of conflict, as conflict may result in population displacement

(x) reconciliation measures where necessary and possible, notably in post-conflict situations, to ensure the durability of solutions.

(xi) education for peace and human rights, including at the community level, in both countries of origin and countries of asylum

(f) Invites UNHCR to provide its support and expertise in formulating comprehensive approaches and assisting States in exploring more systematically where and how such approaches might be appropriate and feasible.

B. Conclusion on the CIS Conference

23. The Executive Committee,

Recalling General Assembly resolutions 49/173 of 23 December 1994 and 50/151 of 21 December 1995 in which the High Commissioner, in close consultation with concerned States and in coordination with relevant intergovernmental, regional and non-governmental organizations, was requested to convene, in 1996, a regional conference to address the problems of refugees, displaced persons, other forms of involuntary displacement and returnees in the countries of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), and relevant neighbouring States,

(a) Expresses its appreciation to the Government of Switzerland and other host Governments and donors for making the process possible;

(b) Welcomes the report of the Conference held in Geneva on 30 and 31 May 1996 and the Programme of Action adopted thereat;

(c) Applauds the spirit of international solidarity and cooperation that made the Conference a success;

(d) Expresses appreciation to the High Commissioner for initiating and supporting a constructive dialogue among a wide range of Governments, resulting in agreement on a comprehensive approach for practical action, taking into account internationally recognized norms and standards and the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms, and providing a solid foundation for further action by the CIS countries, other interested States and international and non-governmental organizations;

(e) Welcomes the close and innovative cooperation of the High Commissioner with the International Organization for Migration (IOM) and the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in carrying out the work of the Conference;

(f) Approves initial steps undertaken by the High Commissioner in cooperation with IOM to promote implementation of the Programme of Action;

(g) Welcomes the Joint Operational Strategy of the High Commissioner and IOM in the CIS countries for 1996 - 2000, which outlines the practical dimensions of implementing the results of the Conference;

(h) Underlines the urgent need to address the problems of those displaced, to implement measures to prevent situations leading to further involuntary population displacement, and to manage other types of migratory flows in the region;

(i) Urges the countries of the CIS that have not yet done so to accede to and implement fully the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol;

(j) Reaffirms the view of the Conference that, while primary responsibility for tackling population displacement problems lies with the affected countries themselves, the serious challenges cannot be met by the limited resources and experience of the CIS countries alone;

(k) Urges Governments of the CIS countries to continue to strengthen their commitment to the principles underpinning the Programme of Action and to ensuring progress in its implementation;

(l) Calls upon other interested States to reaffirm their commitment to those principles and to provide appropriate forms and levels of support for the implementation of the Programme of Action;

(m) Recognizes the key role to be played by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in the implementation of the Programme of Action and encourages Governments of the CIS as well as international organizations to strengthen their cooperation with NGOs and to involve them actively in the Conference follow-up, in accordance with the spirit of PARinAC (UNHCR-NGO Partnership in Action);

(n) Calls on the High Commissioner to continue to cooperate with IOM and OSCE in coordinating ongoing and future activities in the countries of the CIS and in steering and monitoring progress through appropriate follow-up mechanisms;

(o) Requests the High Commissioner to keep the Executive Committee informed of measures taken and proposed, and of progress achieved in the implementation of the Programme of Action.

C. Conclusion on the Implementation of ECOSOC Resolution 1995/56

24. The Executive Committee,

Recalling that ECOSOC resolution 1995/56 on the strengthening of the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations requested the governing bodies of relevant organizations of the United Nations system to review the role and operational responsibilities, as well as the operative and financial capacities of their respective organizations to respond, within their mandates, in the context of broad and comprehensive humanitarian programmes, and welcoming the work undertaken by the Standing Committee in response to that resolution, notably in respect of emergency response, solutions, prevention and coordination,

Recalling the unique mandate of UNHCR for the provision of international protection to refugees and the search for solutions to their problems, and recalling also that the Executive Committee and the General Assembly have requested UNHCR to extend assistance and protection to returnees in the context of its mandate for the promotion of solutions,

Further recalling that prevention of forced displacement is one of the major challenges facing the international community,

Recalling also that UNHCR may be called upon by the appropriate organs of the United Nations and with the consent of the State concerned, to extend its assistance to other groups, such as internally displaced persons, and recognizing that such involvement may contribute to the prevention or mitigation of refugee situations,

Recognizing the range of mechanisms developed by UNHCR to respond to crises of displacement as an important contribution to the overall response capacity of the United Nations, including through the provision of community based assistance to returnees in the form of quick impact projects which are in accordance with national development plans,

(a) Emphasizes the importance to UNHCR of greater predictability and timeliness on the part of the United Nations system as a whole in emergency response and the pursuit of solutions, and strongly asserts the importance of effective inter-agency coordination in this respect;

(b) Calls on UNHCR to continue its efforts to ensure the full effectiveness of its emergency preparedness and response mechanisms, and urges the Office, in its relations with other intergovernmental and non-governmental agencies, to continue to pursue the development of common standards and practices for responding to emergencies;

(c) Underlines the leadership role of the Emergency Relief Coordinator in ensuring a coordinated response to complex emergencies through early implementation of a clear division of labour and an appropriate coordination mechanism decided by the IASC, bearing in mind the mandates and capacities of the agencies concerned;

(d) Calls on UNHCR to continue to participate actively in the IASC as the primary mechanism for inter-agency decisions on system-wide policy issues relating to humanitarian assistance, for formulating a coherent and timely response to major disasters and complex emergencies, as well as for inter-agency decisions of an operational nature, and urges the Office to play its part in ensuring that the IASC fully develops its foreseen institutional role;

(e) Urges UNHCR to work within the IASC to examine as a matter of priority options and proposals (a) to improve the functioning of the IASC and its Working Group, (b) to strengthen the IASC's role in reaching decisions and providing inter-agency guidance to the United Nations system, and (c) to increase the effectiveness of the IASC Secretariat, including, *inter alia*, through increasing IASC members' support for and involvement in preparations for IASC meetings; and stresses the importance of

recommendations to these ends being included in the Secretary-General's report to the 1997 substantive session of ECOSOC;

(f) Calls also on UNHCR to participate actively in the efforts of the IASC to undertake a systematic analysis of various models of coordination, with a view to ascertaining their comparative effectiveness in responding to different situations;

(g) Underscores the importance of establishing Memoranda of Understanding with intergovernmental agencies, including at the level of field operations, as a mechanism to ensure that operations are effective, complementary and predictable; welcomes the recent conclusion of Memoranda of Understanding between UNHCR, UNICEF and UNFPA; urges UNHCR to continue its efforts to establish predictable operational relationships through the conclusion or enhancement of Memoranda of Understanding and other agreements with relevant partners, notably UNDP and WHO; and calls on the High Commissioner to report to the Standing Committee on lessons learnt from such inter-agency agreements;

(h) Calls on UNHCR to continue its active participation in the consolidated appeals process, urges the Office to support efforts to ensure that consolidated appeals reflect priorities established through joint needs assessments and strategic planning and are effectively coordinated with other resources mobilization efforts, including Round Tables and Consultative Group mechanisms, and stresses the importance to UNHCR of the timely issue of appeals, their alignment with the calendar year and their responsiveness to the regional dimensions of complex emergencies;

(i) Underlines the importance of effective inter-agency training in preparing personnel for a coordinated approach to emergencies and welcomes the Complex Emergency Training Initiative coordinated by the Department of Humanitarian Affairs;

(j) Underlines also the importance of contingency planning as a prerequisite for effective emergency response, and strongly encourages ongoing efforts to establish common guidelines, as well as to undertake joint contingency planning activities, including through the focal point established in the Department of Humanitarian Affairs for preparedness and response measures related to the use of government assets in support of humanitarian operations;

(k) Emphasizes the importance of joint needs assessments as a basis for an integrated response, under the guidance of the Department of Humanitarian Affairs, to complex emergencies and also of joint monitoring and evaluation of the collective response of the United Nations system and looks forward to their becoming a regular feature of emergency operations;

(l) Urges UNHCR to continue to work with the IASC to develop ways of implementing effective and predictable linkages between humanitarian assistance, rehabilitation and development in countries of origin and to analyse lessons learnt in this regard;

(m) Calls on UNHCR to continue to participate in efforts, coordinated by the Department of Humanitarian Affairs, to ensure that humanitarian agencies have access to early information regarding potential flows of refugees and displaced persons;

(n) Calls also on UNHCR to continue to collaborate actively with the IASC in its efforts to establish an effective and predictable division of labour in respect of internally displaced persons;

(o) Reaffirms that, while the need for UNHCR involvement with returnees in their countries of origin may vary depending on particular circumstances of each case, such involvement must be limited in scope and duration and should, from the outset, include a clearly defined strategy, in cooperation with the Government concerned and in consultation with the donor community and relevant United Nations agencies, to ensure the sustainability of reintegration after UNHCR's withdrawal;

(p) Recognizes that the institutions responsible for sustaining the rule of law play an important role in removing the causes of refugee movement and, in this context, calls on UNHCR to continue its efforts, at the request of the Government concerned and in close collaboration with other relevant actors, in particular the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, as well as regional organizations, where appropriate, to reinforce national legal, judicial and administrative capacity, including training opportunities;

(q) Given the limited mandate, expertise and resources of UNHCR, stresses that its involvement in such activities should be limited in scope and duration and, wherever possible, be undertaken from the outset in close collaboration with concerned Governments as well as with agencies with specific competence in the fields of development and human rights;

(r) Recognizes that initiatives to address and resolve the problem of statelessness have an important role to play in the prevention of refugee movements and calls on UNHCR to continue its efforts in this respect;

(s) Emphasizes the important role of the Department of Humanitarian Affairs in bridging and integrating the discussions taking place in various intergovernmental fora as well as in the IASC process, and underscores the need for intensified efforts to ensure that these discussions converge into a commonly held and comprehensive set of objectives and proposals;

(t) Calls on UNHCR, in coordination with the Department of Humanitarian Affairs, to report to the January meeting of the Standing Committee on progress made in the inter-agency consultations on the formulation of a comprehensive set of proposals, recommendations and options for submission to the substantive session of ECOSOC in 1997.

D. General Decision on Programme, Administrative and Financial Matters

25. The Executive Committee,

(a) Confirms that the activities proposed under General and Special Programmes as set out in document A/AC.96/865 have been found, on review, to be consistent with the Statute of the Office of the High Commissioner (General Assembly resolution 428 (V)), the High Commissioner's "Good Offices" functions as recognized, promoted or requested by the General Assembly, the Security Council, or the Secretary-General, and the relevant provisions of the Financial Rules for Voluntary Funds Administered by the High Commissioner for Refugees (A/AC.96/503/Rev.6);

(b) Requests the High Commissioner, within the resources available, to respond flexibly and efficiently to the needs currently indicated under 1997 General and Special Programmes which are tentatively estimated at $ 1.1 billion, and to any other new needs that might arise, bearing in mind the Statute of the Office and the priority to be accorded to statutory activities, and the relevant provisions of the Financial Rules for Voluntary Funds;

(c) Approves the revised 1996 General Programmes budget amounting to $ 445,327,000 as detailed in document A/AC.96/865 (Table II.4, column 3);

(d) Approves likewise the country/area programmes, Other Programmes and the Headquarters budgets under the 1997 General Programmes amounting to $ 370,556,900, as well as $ 25,000,000 for the Emergency Fund, $ 20,000,000 for the Voluntary Repatriation Fund, and a Programme Reserve of $ 37,055,100 (representing 10 per cent of programmed activities), all of which are detailed in document A/AC.96/865 (Table II.4, column 4), and which constitute a 1997 total General Programmes budget of $ 452,612,000 and authorizes the High Commissioner within this approved level, to effect adjustments in project, country/area programme, Other Programmes and the Headquarters budgets, as may be required by changes affecting the refugee/returnee programmes for which they were planned;

(e) Notes the Report of the Board of Auditors to the General Assembly on the Accounts of the Voluntary Funds administered by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees for the year ended 31 December 1995 (A/AC.96/869), the Report of the ACABQ on UNHCR Activities financed from Voluntary Funds: Report for 1995-1996 and proposed budget for 1997 (A/AC.96/865/Add.4), and UNHCR Inspection and Evaluation Activities (A/AC.96/870), and requests to be kept regularly informed on the measures taken to address the recommendations and the observations raised in these various oversight documents;

(f) Notes the observation of the ACABQ that the combined total of programme delivery costs and administrative support costs measures have increased considerably and requests UNHCR to prepare a report, to be presented to the Standing Committee in the course of the first half of 1997, on the issue, including on the possibilities of defining more precisely and in a standardized manner the various categories of costs, and illustrating how the categories are reflected in the UNHCR budgets for 1996 and 1997;

(g) Notes with satisfaction the outcome of the informal consultations on the headquarters support costs for non-governmental implementing partners, and the decisions taken by the Standing Committee on this question at its second and third meetings in 1996;

(h) Urges Member States, in the light of the extensive needs to be addressed by the Office of the High Commissioner, to respond generously and in a spirit of solidarity, and in a timely manner, to her appeals for resources;

(i) Approves the transfer of an amount up to $ 500,000 from the 1997 Programme Reserve to the Fund for International Staff Housing and Basic Amenities;

(j) Requests UNHCR to explore further with the Board of External Auditors its proposals for the audit of implementing partners as set out in EC/46/CRP.45, in particular the scope of the proposed audit certification, bearing in mind the comments of the ACABQ and the specific proposals of the Under-Secretary-General for Internal Oversight Services on the issue (EC/46/SC/CRP.45/Add.1);

(k) Notes the comments of the ACABQ on the range of issues related to internal audit, and requests UNHCR to prepare a comprehensive paper on these issues, which takes into account the report of the Secretary-General prepared pursuant to General Assembly resolution 48/218B, for consideration by the Standing Committee in the course of 1997;

(l) Requests UNHCR to prepare a consolidated paper on the issue of the proposed rental of the new UNHCR Headquarters for presentation to the Standing Committee with a view to taking a decision on the matter at the earliest possible opportunity before 31 December 1996.

E. Decision on Executive Committee Working Methods

26. The Executive Committee,

Recalling the decision of its forty-sixth session that new Executive Committee working methods would be introduced on an experimental basis for one annual cycle of meetings and that their application would be reviewed at the end of the forty-seventh plenary session in October 1996 (A/AC.96/860, para. 32),

(a) Decides, on the basis of experience gained since the forty-sixth session, that the revised working methods provide a sound basis for the organization of the annual cycle of Executive Committee and Standing Committee meetings and that these methods should be definitively adopted;

(b) Also decides that the Rules of Procedure of the Executive Committee should be revised to reflect these changes in accordance with the proposals put forward in document A/AC.96/868, annex II;

(c) Further decides to initiate consultations among Executive Committee members on the participation of NGO observers in the work of the Executive Committee and its Standing Committee, bearing in mind revised arrangements for NGO consultation with ECOSOC and further discussions to take place at the fifty-first session of the General Assembly;

(d) Authorizes the Standing Committee to review the results of these consultations at one of its meetings in 1997.

F. Decision on the Work Programme of the Standing Committee in 1997

27. The Executive Committee,

Having reviewed the issues before it at its forty-seventh session, including the annual theme on the pursuit and implementation of durable solutions and the *Report on the Work of the Standing Committee* (A/AC/96/875), and bearing in mind the decisions and conclusions of its forty-sixth session,

(a) Decides to include the following issues in the programme of work of its Standing Committee in 1997, and requests that UNHCR include in its documentation on each item the relevant audit and ACABQ recommendations as well as steps taken to implement those recommendations and related Executive Committee decisions and conclusions:

International Protection

- Note on International Protection
- Follow-up to the Conclusions on International Protection
- Statelessness
- Update on the informal consultations on international protection for all who need it
- UNHCR's activities for refugee law promotion, dissemination and training
- Return of persons not in need of international protection
- Exclusion and cessation clauses under the 1951 Convention and its Protocol

Protection/Programme Policy Issues

- Refugee women: framework for implementation of the Beijing Plan of Action
- Refugee children, including UNHCR's strategy for follow-up to the report on the impact of armed conflict on children
- Refugee health and nutrition
- Economic and social impact of large refugee populations on host developing countries
- Refugees and the environment

Programme and Funding Matters

- Region by region reviews of General and Special Programmes
- Updates on programmes and funding, including reviews of the use of the emergency fund, programme reserve and voluntary repatriation fund
- Programme delivery and administrative support costs

Management, financial and human resources matters

- Project Delphi

Oversight issues

- Implementing partners (audit certification, training and performance evaluation)
- Internal audit
- Procurement
- Programming (budgets, management and control) and financial (statements) issues
- Information system strategy and IMIS
- Follow-up of the evaluation of UNHCR's response to the Rwanda emergency

Coordination issues

- Follow-up to ECOSOC resolution 1995/56
- PARinAC
- The evaluation of Memoranda of Understanding as a mechanism of coordination

Governance issues

- Selection of annual theme for the forty-eighth session of the Executive Committee
- Review of Executive Committee working methods, including observer participation

(b) Authorizes the Standing Committee to add items, as appropriate, to its inter-sessional programme of work;

(c) Calls on the Standing Committee to report on its work to the forty-eighth session of the Executive Committee.

G. Decision on the Provisional Agenda of the Forty-Eighth Session

28. The Executive Committee,

Decides to adopt the following provisional agenda for the forty-eighth session of the Executive Committee:

1. Opening of the session
2. Election of officers
3. Adoption of the agenda and other organizational matters
4. Annual theme
5. Reports on the work of the Standing Committee as they relate to:
   (i) international protection and
   (ii) programme, administrative and financial matters
6. Consideration and adoption of programme budgets
7. Reports relating to programme oversight
8. Inter-sessional meetings of the Standing Committee in 1998
9. Consideration of the provisional agenda of the forty-ninth session of the Executive Committee
10. Any other business
11. Adoption of the draft report of the forty-eighth session of the Executive Committee
12. Closing of the session

H. Government Observer Participation in the Inter-Sessional Meetings of the Standing Committee in 1997

29. The following observer Governments have applied to participate in the work of the inter-sessional meetings of the Standing Committee from October 1996 to October 1997:

Angola, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Chile, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Egypt, Guatemala, Iraq, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Senegal, Slovakia, Syrian Arab Republic, Swaziland, Ukraine, Uruguay, Viet Nam, Yemen, Zambia, Zimbabwe.

ANNEX I: DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE STANDING COMMITTEE IN 1996

In accordance with the authority vested in it by the Executive Committee, the Standing Committee in 1996 adopted a number of decisions on matters included in its programme of work. The texts of these decisions are annexed to the reports of the different Standing Committee meetings as follows:

A/AC.96/861: *Report of the First Meeting of the Standing Committee (30-31 January 1996)*

- Decision on programme and funding
- Decision on the Medium Term Plan
- Decision on Property
- Decision on International Procurement
- Decision on UNHCR Financial Rules

A/AC.96/862 *Report of the Second Meeting of the Standing Committee (10-11 April 1996)*

- Decision on programme and funding
- Decision on the support costs of international NGO partners
- Decision on audit follow-up: programme and financial management
- Decision on Executive Committee documentation
- Decision on amendments to the work programme of the Standing Committee

A/AC.96/873: *Report of the Third Meeting of the Standing Committee (25-27 June 1996)*

- Decision on the annual theme for the forty-seventh session of the Executive Committee
- Decision on programme and funding projections
- Decision on lessons learnt from the Rwanda emergency
- Decision on internal oversight mechanisms
- Decision on headquarters support costs of international NGO partners

A/AC.96/874: *Report of the Fourth Meeting of the Standing Committee (16-17 September and 2 October 1996)*

- Project Delphi

Opening Statement by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees at the Forty-seventh Session of the Executive Committee of the High Commissioner's Programme

(Monday, 7 October 1996)

Mr. Chairman, Excellencies, Distinguished Delegates, Ladies and Gentlemen,

I am pleased to welcome you to the forty-seventh session of the Executive Committee. May I extend a special welcome to the delegation of Ireland. In view of your country's support for humanitarian action, your election as a member of this Committee is a further reinforcement of the team we try to form in the interest of uprooted populations.

I should like to commend the outgoing Chairman, Ambassador Larsen of Denmark, for his excellent cooperation during the past year and for the professional manner in which he has steered all of us through the new and intensive process of Standing Committee meetings. I am confident that we can now count on the leadership of the new Chairman, Ambassador Mchumo of the United Republic of Tanzania, whose country remains at the forefront of one of the most serious refugee crises in recent times. Let me congratulate you and the new Bureau upon your election.

Mr. Chairman, since the previous session of this Committee there have been no new humanitarian emergencies of the scale witnessed during the first half of this decade. The international environment remains, however, volatile, and armed conflict in Burundi, Chechnya in the Russian Federation, Liberia, Sudan, Sri Lanka, Tajikistan and, most recently, Iraq have again forced people to flee for their lives. The world's refugee population decreased from 14.5 million in early 1995 to 13.2 million earlier this year, and is clearly outnumbered by internally displaced persons. At the beginning of 1996, the overall population of concern to the Office stood at some 26.1 million. Africa remains the region with the highest number of refugees, followed by Asia, Europe, Latin America and North America. While there has been important progress towards durable solutions in many parts of the globe, there remain enormous new challenges in several post Cold War conflicts. I shall revert to them later in my statement.

I welcome the accession of South Africa to the 1951 Convention and the OAU Refugee Convention, and I understand that the accession of Kyrgyzstan is virtually completed. I also appreciate the incorporation of many protection and human rights standards in the Programme of Action agreed at the CIS Conference, the adoption in the European Union of a common interpretation of the Conventional refugee definition, and the establishment of guidelines by some States regarding claims of gender specific persecution. I welcome these developments, which should further strengthen the international protection regime.

Mr Chairman, the continuing attachment to refugee protection standards in theory has in many places not been matched by observance in practice. Many States have continued to open their borders to large numbers of refugees, Iran being the most recent example. In many other States, however, access to territory has been either denied outright as a result of push-offs of boat arrivals and rejections at borders, or complicated by new legislative restrictions. Deadly attacks on

refugee camps, sexual abuse of refugee women and children, forcible conscription of refugee men and children, and abusive detention have seriously undermined *safety during asylum*. And thirdly: the *voluntary nature of repatriation* is increasingly being undermined by a mounting number of forcible returns.

The core values of safe and adequate protection are the central concern of my Office. In order to preserve them, I believe that it is first of all crucial that the international community not only reaffirms, but respects the principle of *non-refoulement*. Providing safety against danger is at the heart of protection, and reflects fundamental values common to all cultures. People seeking safety should not be rejected at borders, nor returned from further inland, before it has been properly determined that they will not be in danger.

Second, I should like to appeal to those bearing political responsibility to combat the negative perceptions surrounding asylum-seekers and refugees in the larger context of migration. In many countries of the industrialized world the processing of asylum requests has become much swifter, and numbers have continued to decrease in 1996. Although manifestly unfounded and abusive asylum requests have not disappeared, it is time that asylum problems are de-dramatized in political rhetoric and the public mind, and it is essential that States continue to differentiate between those seeking protection and those seeking better opportunities.

Third, we must pay more attention to international burden-sharing: it is increasingly evident that where burden-sharing fails, protection problems rise. The burdens are very unevenly divided, between and within continents. Germany hosts more Bosnian refugees than all other countries in Western Europe together. During my mission to Côte d'Ivoire and Guinea this spring, I visited regions where Liberian refugees outnumber the local population. The same is true in many other countries, from the Ngara region in Tanzania, to Goma in Zaire to areas in Pakistan. I am very worried that appeals such as those by UNDP and UNHCR to alleviate the huge environmental and other damages in eastern Zaire and western Tanzania, have gone largely unheeded.

Fourth, in the interest of protection we must collectively try to diminish the growing international security dimension of refugee situations. It is essential that the humanitarian character of asylum be preserved, by receiving refugees away from borders, by halting military activities in refugee camps, and by obliging refugees to respect the law. Refugees are entitled to freedom of opinion and expression, and having often fled from political problems, the political engagement of some of them is understandable. However, the humanitarian and non-political character of asylum could be endangered if their freedom of expression were boundless. Countries of asylum may therefore restrict the political activities of refugees, if necessary and in line with human rights standards.

The risk of inter-State tension is compounded when international protection is abused by people not deserving it, because they are guilty of genocide or other heinous crimes. As we are experiencing so painfully in the camps in Zaire and Tanzania, there can be huge practical, legal and especially security problems in identifying and isolating such persons, action that is moreover the primary responsibility of asylum States. On our part, we have now officially excluded from our mandate all those Rwandans who have been indicted by the International Tribunal for Rwanda. We are currently also designing guidelines which should help

States and our own staff to handle the difficult question of exclusion as consistently as possible.

Mr Chairman, constantly re-affirming the principle of non-refoulement, combating prejudices about asylum-seekers, increased burden-sharing and measures against the misuse of asylum must be combined with redoubled efforts to avert and resolve conflict, and to provide more effective protection to internally displaced and other civilian populations during conflict.

This brings me to the pursuit and implementation of durable solutions to refugee problems, which is the annual theme of this Executive Committee. In its long history, and again this year, UNHCR has helped millions of refugees to integrate, to resettle and especially to repatriate. Although this work has never been easy, the challenges are now becoming even bigger. Today, we are called upon to solve displacement following cruel inter-group rather than ideological conflict. In essence we have to deal with the huge challenge of reconciliation in the transition from conflict to real, lasting peace.

Bosnia and Rwanda demonstrate that the establishment of military peace is insufficient in societies which emerge deeply divided from fierce communal conflict. There the establishment of civilian peace, of reconciliation in the broad sense, becomes a still greater challenge than separating armies or physical reconstruction. It requires at least a minimum consensus on the future make-up of society - which cannot be imposed from the outside, and a fair balance between the often competing demands of peace and justice, of forgiveness and ending impunity. It requires just and humane solutions for those who were deliberately chased away in order to establish ethnic hegemony or territorial control. Whereas in almost all situations of conflict solutions for refugee problems require some form of peace, the reverse is often equally true: humane solutions are essential for peace.

The link between refugee problems and peace and security is perhaps nowhere more evident than in the Great Lakes region in Africa. After 15,200 Rwandan refugees were refouled from Burundi in late July, the ongoing turmoil in that country prompted virtually all 65,000 remaining Rwandans to repatriate, with the material and monitoring assistance of my Office. However, during all of 1996 less than 15,000 of those Rwandans who had fled in 1994, returned home from Zaire and Tanzania, leaving 1.6 million people behind. The dangerous security implications of this refugee situation have now escalated. Cross border raids, the targeting of survivors of the genocide and attacks on Tutsi residents in the Kivu region of Zaire resulting in armed resistance, are causing more deaths and are undermining prospects for reconciliation.

Probably never before has my Office found its humanitarian concerns in the midst of such a lethal quagmire of political and security interests. While our humanitarian assistance and protection serve an innocent, silent majority of needy and anxious refugees, they also serve the militants who have an interest in maintaining the status quo. This cannot go on. In order to bring about large scale repatriation, we have formulated a set of proposals on which we hope to reach agreement with affected and interested Governments in the coming days. Only through a comprehensive approach encompassing security, justice, political interests and humanitarian considerations, can this problem be solved. I wish to commend the Rwandan Government for its courage in enacting the Genocide Law, and the Zairian and Tanzanian Governments for coping with a tremendous refugee

burden. I appeal again to the international community to do more to relieve this burden.

Turning now to Bosnia and Herzegovina, we estimate that since Dayton already 250,000 people, mostly internally displaced persons, settled or resettled in areas where their group is in the majority. Inter-entity returns, especially to the Bosnian Serb entity, continue to face many political, psychological and practical obstacles. Yet, there are hopeful signs of progress. Following the recent elections, work is in progress on the building of common institutions. Finally reconstruction works are gathering some pace, especially in the 22 target areas launched by my Office. 20,000 homes have been repaired through UNHCR's shelter project. There have been some breakthroughs in the pilot projects for return within the Federation, and a small number of Muslims are being allowed to work on their houses on the Serb side of the inter-entity line. Our buses are running across the lines of division. I firmly believe that we must continue to build bridges between the people of Bosnia.

We must not give up on the right of people to return to their homes, while we should at the same time create conditions making it possible to rebuild their lives elsewhere, within Bosnia and in the region. Our initial consultations with the authorities of the countries concerned on a Regional Plan of Action have been promising. A regional approach would also allow for more progress on solutions for refugees and displaced persons from Croatia, including Eastern Slavonia. Many of the problems and their solutions are interlinked. Let me in this context express my appreciation of Croatia's recent new and much broader Amnesty law.

As conditions in Bosnia improve further - and the forthcoming municipal elections should be an important indicator - the time will come for the lifting of temporary protection. Those unable to return to their home areas should, however, not be pushed back, as long as they will not have a decent roof over their head and a decent alternative solution in sight.

Mr Chairman, the difficulties in Bosnia and Rwanda should not obscure the historic progress made in resolving forced displacement elsewhere. We should all be inspired by the process of reconciliation in Guatemala, South Africa and Mozambique. In the latter country, in spite of seventeen years of atrocious conflict, the peaceful reintegration of 1.7 million refugees worked, and in July this year we were able to end our involvement there. I should make specific mention also of Mali, where the ethnic dimensions of conflict did not preclude reconciliation, and where we helped this year some 50,000 Tuareg refugees to repatriate.

This year we have been able to conclude the Comprehensive Plan of Action for Indo-Chinese refugees. Thanks to an impressive show of international cooperation 1,075,000 Vietnamese and Laotian refugees have, since 1975, benefited from protection in the region followed by resettlement. I should also like to commend Viet Nam for its efforts in receiving back almost 100,000 returnees, and I hope it will make an additional effort to clear the return of the remaining 12,000 persons in Hong Kong.

In many other places there is progress towards solutions. Since October last year we have assisted some 50,000 refugees to return to Togo. We are trying to help more refugees to go home to Somalia, in addition to the estimated 500,000 Somalis who have repatriated in the last few years. I wish to express my

appreciation to the Governments of Ethiopia and the Sudan for the successful voluntary repatriation of some 27,000 Ethiopian refugees, since December 1995. In Angola, in spite of the slow progress in the implementation of the Lusaka Protocol, some 30,000 refugees have returned spontaneously and we are gearing up our capacity for larger movements in future.

I sincerely hope that following the recent events in Afghanistan as many refugees as possible will start the journey home, in peace and in full respect of their human rights, particularly those of women. We also hope to receive the clearance of the Government of Myanmar for the voluntary return of the remaining 40,000 refugees in Bangladesh, which would enable UNHCR to strengthen its monitoring and reintegration activities in Rakhine State, thus helping to improve conditions there. At the same time I count on the Government of Bangladesh to provide UNHCR access to any new asylum-seekers. I am grateful to the Royal Bhutanese Government for having engaged in a dialogue with my Office regarding the refugees who have received generous asylum in Nepal. I repeat my readiness to cooperate in the implementation of any satisfactory arrangements Bhutan and Nepal might reach toward solving this complex problem.

Mr Chairman, this overview should provide us hope: refugee problems are less insoluble than they often appear to be. In most cases, however, solving them requires time. In situations where refugees have fled from war, and although they increasingly return or are pushed to return to unstable conditions, real progress toward peace is almost always a pre-requisite for ending their predicament in a lasting manner. This also applies to the Caucasus. During a recent joint mission with DHA to Armenia and Azerbaijan we discussed proposals to prepare for the return and reintegration of some 1.1 million refugees and displaced persons, who fled as a result of the conflict over Nagorno Karabach. Although our proposals were well received, it became clear that progress on the humanitarian front will be intimately linked to a favourable evolution of the OSCE Minsk Group negotiations. Similarly, we will pursue our efforts to include the humanitarian dimension in the peaceful settlement of the Abkhaz and South Ossetian disputes in Georgia.

Although peace cannot be imposed from the outside, international cooperation and involvement are in many ways indispensable to solve problems of forced displacement. Let me in this context appeal once again for increased international support to the peace efforts of ECOWAS in Liberia, so that the predicament of 750,000 Liberian refugees will finally end. International cooperation implies of course in the first place the responsibility of countries of origin to re-admit their citizens, whether refugees or rejected asylum-seekers. The CPA and Mexico's recent praiseworthy decision to offer many remaining Guatemalan refugees possibilities for integration, remind us of another aspect of international cooperation: it may be necessary to complement voluntary repatriation with other pragmatic solutions.

It has become very clear that the international community must help countries emerging from conflict to cope with the complicated transition from war to peace, and with resuming development. Early planning, early coordination and early action by all international actors involved are necessary. The absence of visible peace dividends can clearly compromise the process of peace building and healing. Through community-based approaches, a focus on human as well as material needs and by involving women, these dividends can contribute to reconciliation. At the same time we have learned, for example from our operation in Mozambique,

that UNHCR's quick implementation projects must be accompanied by timely efforts to ensure their sustainability, once we leave. Not being a developmental organization, my Office must leave as soon as our refugee work is completed. Finally, for the challenges of refugee return and reconciliation the building of fair systems of justice and efficient mechanisms for property restitution have proven to be increasingly important.

The women and children who survived Srebrenica and Rwanda have starkly reminded us that more must be done to help them and many others to overcome their traumas and to restart their lives. Following the Bosnian Women's Initiative Fund, for which I would like to thank the Government of the United States, I am therefore considering a new initiative to help the women and child survivors of the genocide in Rwanda. In the context of refugee protection and assistance as well, the needs of women and children deserve more attention, by the international community and also within UNHCR. Having elaborated specific Guidelines on women and children issues, having appointed focal points and regional advisors, and having stepped up gender awareness training, full attention to these needs must now permeate all our activities. I also intend to examine carefully the recommendations of the Study on the Impact of Armed Conflict on Children, undertaken by Mrs. Graça Machel, with whom UNHCR has closely cooperated.

Mr. Chairman, while I hope that we will continue to move towards solutions, UNHCR's next challenge will be to better frame and concretize its contributions, however modest, to avert unnecessary displacement. By injecting some humanity into conflict situations, humanitarian action can help to contain them, to prevent their spilling-over and to avert refugee flows. By re-anchoring returning refugees in their communities and by promoting reconciliation, we can help to prevent the recurrence of conflict. However, within the limits of our mandate and against relatively low costs, we need to do more in the following areas: the reduction of statelessness, strengthening the capacity of local NGOs and administrative structures, humanitarian advocacy, and encouraging regional dialogue.

Following last year's Conclusion on Statelessness, we have already advised some Governments, for example in the Czech Republic, on sometimes sensitive citizenship issues. Local institutions and NGOs are not only critical to ensuring protection and sustaining rehabilitation, but they can also reinforce good governance. Promoting awareness of refugee and humanitarian standards is especially relevant in regions where we have been able to reduce our presence, such as Latin America and South-East Asia. Most importantly, we need further efforts to encourage dialogue on how to prevent, manage and solve displacement through comprehensive regional initiatives, such as the CIS Conference we organized this spring together with the OSCE and IOM.

Dialogue, standard setting and material help were the outcome of the CIS process, and I call on the donor community to enable us to start implementing our projects, for example for the Crimean Tatars in the Ukraine and in support of the migration service of the Russian Federation. Building on our positive experience with the CIS Conference, I have decided, in close consultation with the Secretary-General, to explore the possibility of a similar process for the countries of Central and South-West Asia, which, while being faced with some of the largest and longest refugee crises in modern times, have continued to extend

their hospitality to refugees in the best tradition of Islam. The initial reaction of these countries has been promising and I am very grateful to them.

Mr Chairman, let me devote the last part of my statement to perhaps my most crucial objective for the coming period: to deliver the changes necessary for UNHCR to perform better with less. Last year, I announced initiatives in this direction, and I was pleased that, last week, the Standing Committee was able to assess the progress achieved under UNHCR's change process, better known as Project Delphi.

The objective of Delphi is nothing more or less than to give UNHCR better tools to perform its central mission of ensuring international protection and achieving durable solutions, in an ever more complex and critical environment.

Our programmes and operational structures will be based on better defined objectives tailored to the needs of each situation, but at the same time consistent with the overall strategic directions of the Office. We have already begun to introduce a new operational orientation, which we have taken to calling the 'situational' approach. While ensuring protection and assistance, this approach will gear us more towards finding and exploiting openings for solutions. In addition, greater delegation and support should enable our managers to take greater responsibility, while more finely tuned and expanded oversight from the centre should allow us to better hold them accountable for reaching their objectives.

I am pleased, Mr Chairman, that we have been able to start implementing Project Delphi. As delegations are aware, I have reorganized our senior management structure. We are reducing unnecessary bureaucratic layers, which, I hope, will bring me too closer to what is happening on the ground, at the point of delivery of our services. We are making progress in developing clear policy directives. Following consultations with all my Representatives earlier this year, we have issued a Global Strategy Paper, which, together with the research undertaken in the new Centre for Documentation and Research, should better inform the content of our policy and decision making process. Planning and evaluation capacities have been strengthened. I am also insisting that all Representatives and other senior managers acquire advanced management training.

On the human resources side, I am pleased to report that we have now launched our new Career Management System. We expect the new performance appraisal to assist our staff in planning and advancing their careers, to introduce greater accountability for accomplishing specific work objectives, and to enable the organization to assign the right people to the right place.

With working processes streamlined and greater authority delegated to the field, I expect that UNHCR will need fewer human resources, particularly in Geneva. Anticipating a reduction of 250 staff at headquarters, I have instituted a freeze on external recruitment, and further measures are planned to contain the impact of the reductions on staff. My Director of Human Resources Management is working, in close consultation with the Staff Council, to prepare a transition strategy.

Your broad support will encourage the process of change at UNHCR. From the beginning our staff has been involved in UNHCR's change process, and I remain committed to continuing this broad based approach.

Mr Chairman, I have come to the end of my statement. While we try to perform the best we can, we need your continued moral as well as financial support. Against a total budget of some 1.3 billion dollars, our funding situation now shows 776 million dollars contributed since 1 January, of which 320.5 million dollars are for our General Programmes. I appeal especially to the donors here present to make an extra effort for the serious shortfall in our operations in Bosnia, Croatia, the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, West Africa and most urgently, in Tanzania and Zaire.

Amidst growing pressures and dilemmas, the challenges in sustaining international protection and achieving durable solutions are enormous. More than ever, we depend on the cooperation of all Governments, our United Nations sister agencies, the ICRC, and the NGOs - our crucial partners in action. With the help of all of you, I pledge my determination to continue to serve the victims of war and persecution with my dedicated and able staff.

Thank you.

UN

UNITED NATIONS

A

General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/AC.96/879
3 February 1997

Original: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
HIGH COMMISSIONER'S PROGRAMME

Forty-seventh session

REPORT OF THE FIFTH MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE (11 December 1996)

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The fifth meeting of the Standing Committee was opened by the Vice-Chairman of the Executive Committee, His Excellency Mr. Björn Skogmo (Norway).

II. RENTAL OF UNHCR'S HEADQUARTERS' PREMISES AT MONTBRILLANT

2. The Chairman noted that there was a single substantive item on the agenda: the rental of UNHCR headquarters premises at Montbrillant. He recalled that the subject had already received the attention of the Standing Committee on a number of occasions, recalling that at the June 1996 meeting it had considered conference room paper EC/46/SC/CRP.40 (*Montbrillant Building:Rental Costs)* with its annexed decision. Additional information was provided in CRP/40/Add.1 at the October meeting which also reviewed pertinent comments of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (ACABQ) contained in document A/AC.96/865/Add.4, paragraphs 20-28.

3. The Chairman recalled that the October meeting of the Standing Committee had decided that a further consolidated paper should be prepared. The requested consolidated paper was before the Standing Committee in the form of EC/47/SC/CRP.1.

4. The Controller introduced the conference room paper under consideration, noting that in paragraph 9 reference is made to confirmation by the Swiss authorities that the cost related to amortization of the loan contracted by FIPOI would not exceed SFR 2,420,000. This responded to concerns expressed by both the ACABQ and the Standing Committee that SFR 2.40 million be considered a ceiling rental charge. The Controller also highlighted the issue of running costs, saying that while the overall running costs in 1995 were SFR 1,626,220, in 1996 they were confirmed to be SFR 1,486,000 and in 1997 they would further decrease to SFR 1,390,000.

5. The Standing Committee adopted the attached decision (see Annex) without debate.

6. After adoption of the decision, the delegation of Switzerland took the floor to express its satisfaction that agreement had been reached on the terms under which the Swiss authorities would conclude a lease with UNHCR covering the Montbrillant building. The agreement reached by the Standing Committee would, moreover, allow the authorities to focus on other urgent matters, including aspects of the security of the building and modifications to the external part of the entrance. The delegation also recalled that Switzerland would now proceed to raise the level of its annual contribution to UNHCR's General Programmes by 2 million Swiss francs as of 1996, subject to annual budgetary approval.

Decision on
Rental of UNHCR's Headquarters premises at Montbrillant

The Standing Committee,

Having considered the information contained in documents EC/46/SC/CRP.40, EC/46/SC/CRP.40/Add.1 and EC/47/SC/CRP.1 on the arrangements proposed for the rental of UNHCR's Headquarters building at Montbrillant, and taking due note of the comments of the ACABQ in document A/AC.96/865/Add.4,

a) Endorses the proposal of the High Commissioner to enter into a formal rental agreement with the Swiss authorities on the basis of the arrangements set out in EC/47/SC/CRP.1;

b) Decides that the agreement will be re-examined by the Standing Committee early in the final year of the initial five year lease with a view to considering its provisions in light of rental conditions for international organizations prevailing at that time, before renewal of the lease comes into effect.

UN

UNITED NATIONS **A**

General Assembly

PROPERTY OF ... UNIVERSITY

Distr.
GENERAL

A/AC.96/880
2 May 1997

Original: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
HIGH COMMISSIONER'S PROGRAMME

Forty-seventh session

REPORT OF THE SIXTH MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE (30-31 January 1997)

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The meeting was opened by the Chairman of the Executive Committee, His Excellency Ambassador Ali M'chumo (United Republic of Tanzania).

II. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA OF THE SIXTH MEETING AND THE REPORT OF THE FIFTH MEETING

2. The Agenda (EC/47/SC/CRP.3/Rev.1) and the Draft Report of the 19 December 1996 Meeting of the Standing Committee (EC/47/SC/CRP.4) were both adopted without revision.

III. PROGRAMME AND FUNDING ISSUES

3. The Standing Committee had before it a document entitled, *Overall Programme and Funding Projections for 1996 and 1997* (EC/47/SC/CRP.5) and an amended draft decision.

4. The agenda item was introduced by the Director of the Division of Operational Support (DOS). In the review of 1996 programmes, it was pointed out that General Programmes remained at the approved level of $ 445.3 million and programme needs under Special Programmes were now projected at $ 887.3 million. The uses made of, and reimbursements to, the Emergency Fund and the Voluntary Repatriation Fund were also reviewed. Expenditures against the Programme Reserve were also considered. As regards 1997 programmes, the General Programmes target remained at $ 452.6 million, as approved by the Executive Committee at its forty-seventh session. Needs under Special Programmes were currently estimated at $ 737.8 million.

5. In his presentation, the Director focused on trends in relation to UNHCR's share of the United Nations Regular Budget and briefly outlined the

background to this issue. The Director also clarified the text of EC/47/SC/CRP.5, para. 16, noting that discussions between UNHCR and UNOG on a range of budgetary issues had been ongoing in the course of 1997.

6. The current funding situation was also reviewed by the Head of the Funding and Donor Relations Service. He indicated that donor response to UNHCR's needs in 1996 had been very positive with regard to General Programmes. The Standing Committee was reminded of the funding priority which the Office attached to General Programmes and the trend of increased contributions in recent years to these programmes was welcomed. Major Special Programmes in the former Yugoslavia and the Great Lakes region (Central Africa) had suffered in 1996, however, because contributions to them had been slow in coming. Needs in 1997 were currently estimated at $ 1.2 million. It was indicated that the High Commissioner would visit, in the course of 1997, a number of potential donor countries in an effort to broaden UNHCR's donor base.

7. In the interventions in response to these presentations, delegations posed a number of questions regarding carry-over figures as well as current and previous years' budgets for the former Yugoslavia and the Great Lakes region (Central Africa). It was also proposed that the repatriation programmes for the Horn of Africa be more clearly presented by indicating the country components separately. A number of delegations also expressed concern at reductions in the support from the Regular Budget for UNHCR and the growing tendency to fund posts and expenses normally covered by the Regular Budget though recourse to voluntary contributions. Some delegations underlined the broader significance of the Regular Budget contribution to UNHCR as being an expression of the universal support for the mandate of UNHCR by the international community. One delegation drew attention to the tight timetable, if the Executive Committee was going to meaningfully address the issue of UNHCR's share of the Regular Budget in the 1998-1999 biennium. Some delegations expressed reservations about the suggestion that UNHCR might try to negotiate a contribution from the Regular Budget in the form of a grant.

8. The Director of the Division of Administration (UNOG), in responding to issues relating to the Regular Budget, focused on recent overall reductions determined by the General Assembly for the Regular Budget and the logic of the UNOG proposal to move budgetary provisions related to UNHCR's general operating expenses from the UNOG chapter of the Regular Budget to the chapter dealing with UNHCR. With regard to the recently introduced charges to UNHCR for the use of conference rooms and office space at the Palais des Nations for all meetings (except the annual session of the Executive Committee plenary), he said that these would be reviewed by UNOG

9. In the light of the various interventions, the draft decision on programmes and funding projections was modified accordingly. The revised decision adopted by the Standing Committee is annexed.

IV. SITUATIONS REPORTS

10. The Standing Committee had two regional reviews to consider under this Agenda Item: the *Update on Regional Developments in Central Asia, South West Asia, North Africa and the Middle East* (EC/47/SC/CRP.6), and an oral update on the situation in the Great Lakes region of Africa.

A. CASWANAME regional developments

11. Before opening discussion on the first topic under this agenda item, the Chairman called on Mr. M. Djemali, Deputy Director for Central Asia, South West Asia, North Africa and the Middle East (CASWANAME) Operations, to give a brief introduction to the paper. In his introduction, Mr. Djemali referred to the CASWANAME region as being one of the most volatile regions of the world and a perennial source of population displacements, resulting in both refugees and internally displaced persons. He further informed the Standing Committee of the Office's activities in each of the sub-regions of CASWANAME.

12. Delegations, while generally commending UNHCR on the quality of the paper, offered comments and posed several questions regarding UNHCR's work in the region. The situation of women in Afghanistan, including female UNHCR staff working in the country, was the subject of concern from several delegations and delegations requested further information on UNHCR programmes for women in Afghanistan. Remaining on the topic of Afghanistan, delegations expressed the view that while repatriation remained the only viable solution to the Afghan refugee problem, deterioration of the security situation in Afghanistan had resulted in a sharp decline in the number of Afghan refugees wishing to repatriate. Therefore, it was suggested, UNHCR needs to increase it's care and maintenance programmes for Afghan refugees in countries of asylum while at the same time continuing it's rehabilitation efforts inside the country in order to encourage refugees to repatriate. Another delegation requested further information on why Afghan refugees in the Islamic Republic of Iran did not return through Turkmenistan, as arranged for by UNHCR and the Governments concerned. More details on UNHCR assistance in Afghanistan and recent repatriation movements to the country was requested by a delegation, which also asked whether or not UNHCR staff had free access to all areas of Afghanistan.

13. In commenting on other areas of the report, one delegation requested clarification concerning the statistics on Western Saharan refugees in Tindouf. He suggested that additional information on how these statistics were arrived at be provided either in the report of the High Commissioner to the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) or, alternatively, that the letter sent to the delegation by UNHCR in response to questions it had previously raised be issued as a Standing Committee document. Mr. Djemali informed the delegation that the matter would be taken into consideration. Another delegation enquired about the reason for the increase in the care and maintenance budget for refugees from Mali and Niger. The same delegation, referring to the inspection mission outlined in paragraph 105 of the paper, noted this as an encouraging practice.

14. UNHCR's withdrawal from Atroush camp in northern Iraq met with differing response from delegations. One delegation commended UNHCR for the decision to withdraw from Atroush camp and to discontinue assistance at that location, while another delegation suggested that UNHCR review it's policy in relation to this population.

15. On the subject of the bi-communal programme in Cyprus, one delegation said that paragraph 95 of the paper was in error in stating that the nursery served both communities. UNHCR undertook to look into the matter and revert.

16. In commenting on the state of refugees from Western Sahara, one delegation stated that these refugees could not repatriate because of the situation in their places of origin. Further, it was expressed that many would certainly choose to repatriate should a peaceful solution to the problem be found.

17. With reference to the format of the paper, one delegation suggested that such reports should be standardized, clearly indicating voluntary repatriation activities, statistics and operations in the various countries. The same delegation also requested further information on the Ashgabat inter-agency meeting on Afghanistan.

18. Support for the CASWAME initiative for regional consultations was voiced by delegations, although several requested more information about the objectives of the process and asked how closely this initiative would mirror the CIS process. There was disagreement amongst delegations on participation in the meetings on this initiative, with some delegations stressing the need to limit participation to the countries in the region and other delegations requesting a more open process involving countries other than those in the region which are also affected by refugee problems. One delegation posed a number of questions seeking clarification on whether or not the Executive Committee would be asked to endorse the process, or if there had been any reaction and/or coordination with other international organizations. Further, this delegation asked to be informed on the possibility of linking these consultations with political processes currently taking place in the region.

B. Update on Great Lakes Region of Africa

19. The Assistant High Commissioner delivered an oral update on the situation in the Great Lakes region of Africa, reviewing recent developments in Burundi, Rwanda, the United Republic of Tanzania and Zaire. In addition to his country-by-country assessment of the complex and volatile situation, he suggested lessons which could be drawn from recent experiences in the region.

20. In summarizing, he said that he was encouraged by the spirit in which the sub-regional and national agencies were cooperating on humanitarian coordination, noting the continued need for a regional coordination mechanism that was light and mobile.

21. The phasing down of UNHCR regional operations in Zaire and the United Republic of Tanzania, and consolidation under the Director and Special Envoy based in the region were cited as priorities.

22. Staff security was emphasized as an issue of major concern. The AHC said that all possible measures were being taken to extend maximum protection to UNHCR's international and national staff working in constantly changing security environments.

V. SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT OF LARGE REFUGEE POPULATIONS ON HOST DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.

23. This agenda item and its related documentation (EC/47/SC/CRP.7) was introduced by the Director of DOS. Appreciation for the documentation was

expressed by a number of delegations, however, some delegations felt that the conference room paper was not sufficiently action oriented.

24. A number of delegations from asylum countries spoke of the significant contributions of their Governments over extended periods of time in hosting refugees, and the impact of such populations on the economic and social life of their countries. Particular tribute was paid to the burden shouldered by least developed countries in hosting refugee populations. Several delegations noted that the international community had fallen short of meeting its obligations to support host Governments in coping with large refugee influxes. It was pointed out that while large refugee inflows often caught the media eye and attracted massive financing in the short-term, it was also important that the long-term perspective of the impact on host countries and their societies be taken into account. This was particularly evident in the case of long-staying refugee populations. The scarcity of available funds for rehabilitation in comparison with those for emergencies was noted with concern by one delegation.

25. It was suggested that UNHCR should continue to play a catalytic role, engaging other United Nations agencies, particularly UNDP, WFP and UNICEF, in a policy and strategy dialogue on this issue. In addition, it was recommended that UNHCR should play a similar role in facilitating impact analysis and early rehabilitation measures. It was further stressed that development agencies should assume greater responsibility in addressing the impact of refugees on the host countries at the earliest possible stage of the emergency.

26. A number of delegations asked for a more active role by UNHCR in the mobilization of resources, stressing that attention should be given not only to donor countries, but also to the World Bank and other financial institutions. The duration of stay of refugee populations in a country should be taken into account when formulating strategies to address their impact. One delegation stated that a way to begin addressing this problem might be through the better use of existing developmental resources.

27. Several delegations suggested that the issue of the social and economic impact of large refugee populations on host developing countries be kept under review. In order to have a clearer view of the situation of host countries, one delegation requested that future reports on this issue contain a list of asylum countries indicating the number of refugees they were hosting and the duration of the refugees stay.

28. Several delegations, while noting the serious impact that large refugee populations have on host countries and the need for the international community to show solidarity with such countries, pointed out that Governments do have specific obligations under international refugee law and that the discharge of these obligations should not be made conditional on other factors.

29. In commenting on the interventions, the Director of DOS noted that the lack of operational measures in the CRP reflected the complexities of the problems created by large refugee influxes and the difficulties of actually developing strategies that would work quickly. The impact of such refugee influxes had to be met largely by developmental, not emergency assistance; the problem was that developmental aid cycles did not readily lend themselves to timely remedial action. The Director stated that the issue of addressing

the impact of refugee influxes was a very real one and was being pursued by UNHCR with other relevant international agencies in the appropriate fora.

30. A number of amendments to the draft decision were proposed. It was agreed that further consultations would be undertaken with concerned delegations to agree on a text reflecting the various concerns. The revised text would be submitted to the April meeting for adoption.

VI. ENVIRONMENT

31. The conference room paper on *Refugees and the Environment* (EC/47/SC/CRP.8) was introduced by the Director of DOS. He drew attention to *UNHCR's Environmental Guidelines* published in 1996, as well as commenting on a range of activities which had been developed to implement the Guidelines. A presentation was also made which highlighted the use UNHCR made of Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and satellite imagery for environmental planning in its operations.

32. In their interventions, delegations expressed broad support for UNHCR's environmental policy and activities. However, a number of observations were made and questions asked. These were responded to by the Senior Coordinator on Environmental Affairs and the Director of DOS.
In regard to UNHCR's mandate and its environmental activities, one delegation felt that the reference to leading roles in Annex 2 para. (b) of the conference room paper could be misleading; UNHCR undertook to clarify and revise the relevant document. The need for UNHCR to promote coordination with other agencies was also raised. UNHCR stated that considerable efforts had been devoted to strengthening such coordination. Examples cited included the International Symposium on Environment and Mass Migration which had been convened by UNHCR, the International Organization for Migration (IOM) and the Refugee Policy Group (RPG) in April 1996, as well as the recently initiated lessons learnt projects undertaken in conjunction with other agencies. The importance of ensuring that the Guidelines were reflected in programming as a whole was also stressed by delegations. The subject of green procurement was also raised. UNHCR noted that a draft report on how to implement "greener" procurement policies would soon be finalized; this would take into account the current practices of other organizations. More specific points raised by delegations included questions relating to the transfer of technology and local capacity building; cost benefit analysis of high tech tools; and the status of an agreement between the United States Government's GLOBE Programme and UNHCR.

VII. FOLLOW-UP TO ECOSOC RESOLUTION 1995/56

33. The Standing Committee had before it a report submitted by the Department of Humanitarian Affairs (DHA) entitled *Progress on the Follow-Up to ECOSOC Resolution 1995/56* (EC/47/SC/CRP.9).

34. In opening the discussion on this agenda item, the Chairman recalled the Standing Committee's 1996 thematic discussions on ECOSOC resolution 1995/56, which culminated in the adoption of a detailed conclusion by the forty-seventh session of the Executive Committee. The Chairman reminded the meeting that the conclusion of the Executive Committee called for UNHCR, in coordination with DHA, to report to the January 1997 Standing Committee on progress made in interagency consultations on the formulation of a

comprehensive set of proposals, recommendations and options for submission to the 1997 substantive session of ECOSOC.

35. The Chairman invited the Secretary to read a statement on behalf of the Assistant High Commissioner (AHC) introducing this agenda item. The AHC highlighted certain aspects of the DHA report most relevant to UNHCR. In respect of coordination arrangements, he recalled that his recent experience in the Great Lakes region of Africa exemplified the need for a unified, coordinated and flexible response from the United Nations system. To ensure responsiveness and flexibility, he said, the system requires a range of coordination mechanisms to select from depending on the dictates of specific complex emergencies. Mechanisms adopted need, moreover, to be regularly reviewed and adapted as circumstances change. The AHC also emphasized the principle that emergency assistance must be intimately linked to recovery assistance, if long term solutions to refugee emergencies are to be found. An important challenge was how to operationalize such principles

36. The Chairman then called upon Mr. Philippe Boullé, Acting Director of the Geneva Office of DHA, to introduce the conference room paper and to provide an update on other important developments in relation to the ECOSOC resolution. Mr. Boullé updated the Committee on the status of the five Sub-Working Groups created as a result of resolution 1995/56 to examine the issues of evaluation and accountability; internally displaced persons; local capacity/relief and development; staff development; and resource mobilization. He also informed the meeting of the progress of interagency discussions on strategic and operational coordination and gave a brief description of the proposed Humanitarian Coordinator's role. Mr. Boullé then turned to the issue of consultations with member States on this resolution. He informed the Committee that consultations with Governments would take place once the internal process had finished, which he hoped would be by mid-February 1997. Finally, in returning to the work of the Sub-Working Groups, Mr. Boullé reported that a certain "synergy" had developed between members as a result of the consultation process, which had provided a positive climate of collaboration.

37. Delegations, while expressing overall support for this process, raised several questions on a number of issues, some of which were still being examined by the Sub-Working Groups. Delegations stressed that the efficacy of the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) as a coordinating body was vital. Some stressed the need to improve the IASC's working methods. One delegation commented that the process in general should be as transparent and clear as possible, and should include government participation. Other issues raised by delegations included the need for further improvements to consolidated appeals; monitoring and evaluation; the role of Humanitarian Coordinator in relation to that of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General; and response to IDP needs. One delegation asked to know UNHCR's opinion on how the safety and security of aid workers could be better ensured. UNHCR was also asked to give its view on whether a lead agency was able to play the impartial role required of a coordinator. Further, UNHCR was requested to comment on it's opinion of progress in this process of consultations, especially in light of the role it has played in Great Lakes region of Africa. A number of delegations urged agencies to be bold and creative in their recommendations for implementation of the resolution.

38. In response to these queries Mr. Boullé again pointed out the necessity in maintaining a process that was flexible and allowed for improvisation, when necessary, a point echoed by the IASC Coordinator in his comments.

39. In response to questions posed to UNHCR, the Secretary underlined UNHCR's active participation in and strong support of the consultation process and the need for improved humanitarian coordination. Overall, he felt that it had been a fruitful process, allowing an unprecedented exchange of views. He cautioned, however, that the most difficult part of the process is yet to come.

40. On the question of the lead agency responsible for both strategic and operational coordination, the Secretary reiterated UNHCR's strong view that this should be among the coordination models available for responding to emergencies. It had the advantage of simplicity, of ensuring a strong operational focus and of avoiding multiple layers of coordination. UNHCR did not, however, believe that it would be appropriate to all situations. To guarantee the impartiality of a lead agency, that agency should be accountable to the Emergency Relief Coordinator (ERC) and IASC for the performance of its coordination functions. In a final comment, the Secretary stated that, in general, UNHCR has found value in the coordination efforts in the Great Lakes region of Africa, but believed that there was a need to maintain flexibility in the coordination arrangements applied to different kinds of situations.

VIII. AGENDA ITEMS 7 AND 8

41. Given that the time allotted for the meeting was coming to an end, the Secretary suggested that the remaining two agenda items might be forwarded for consideration at the April meeting of the Standing Committee. This seemed appropriate since the related documentation had been prepared as interim information papers; where appropriate, updates would be provided at the April meeting. After the meeting, the statement which had been prepared by the Deputy High Commissioner to introduce the *Update on Project Delphi* (EC/47/SC/CRP.13) was forwarded to Executive Committee Missions by the Secretariat.

IX. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

42. The Secretary reminded delegations of the first round of Informal Consultations on non-governmental organization observer participation in the work of the Executive Committee of the High Commissioner's Programme and its Standing Committee, scheduled to immediately follow the meeting of the Standing Committee.

43. There being no further business, the Chairman adjourned the meeting.

DECISION ADOPTED BY THE STANDING COMMITTEE

DECISION ON PROGRAMME AND FUNDING PROJECTIONS

The Standing Committee,

Recalling the decision of the forty-seventh session of the Executive Committee on programme, administrative and financial matters,

(a) Notes that the overall needs for 1997, based on currently known or anticipated requirements, now amount to $1,215.9 million, of which projected needs for General Programmes remain at $ 452.6 million, as approved by the Executive Committee at its forty-seventh session, and those for Special Programmes are $ 737.8 million, while the remaining $ 25.5 million pertain to the United Nations Regular Budget;

(b) Expresses satisfaction with the positive trend in the level of contributions to General Programmes and, in view of the importance of the full funding of these programmes, urges the Office to sustain its efforts to ensure an improved, stable and balanced funding base for them;

(c) Notes the trends in relation to UNHCR's share of the United Nations Regular Budget as a result of further savings required in that budget over the next biennium and, bearing in mind the importance of the work of the Office, requests the High Commissioner to explore with the Secretary-General options for the level and form of the contribution from the Regular Budget.